(c) The question does not arise since the function of SCLAC is to file cases in the Supreme Court of India and not to send cases to M.P. Legal Aid and Advice Board.

(d) The Supreme Court Legal Aid Committee does not maintain Case Registers on District-wise basis. The number of cases pertaining to Raigarh District, as such, cannot be ascertained.

Pay scales of administrative staff of HCs and SC

3262. SHRI SARADA MOHANTY : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that scales of pay of administrative staff of High Court and Supreme Court were at par with the Central Government employees ;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Supreme Court and High Courts are revising the scales of staff through various writs ;

(c) if so, through which writs the scales have been upgraded/ revised and which of the Fundamental right has been violated ;

(d) whether the Central Government are considering the revision of the scales of Central Government employees at par with employees of High Courts and Supreme Court; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R BHARDWAJ) : (a) The scales of pay of administrative staff of the Delhi High Court and the Supreme Court were fixed in relationship to the employees of the Delhi Administration and the Central Government, respectively.

(b) Certain categories of staff of the Delhi High Court and the Supreme Court have, from time to time, filed writs in the appropriate courts to claim higher emoluments. Where the Court upheld the plea and allowed the relief, the same became admissible.

(c) A statement showing details of writs is enclosed, *{sec* below)

(d) and (e) There is no proposal to revise the scales of pay of the Central Government employees. The scales of pay of any category of post which are revised as a result of judicial pronouncements are applicable only to the category of post for whom the judicial order has been passed.

Statement

(i) Judgement dated 8-4-91 of Delhi High Court in C.W.P. No. 3485/90 read with order dated 24-7-91 of the Supreme Court in SLP No. 11158/91.

(ii) Judgement of Delhi High Court dated 8-4-91 in C.W.P. No. 3465/90.

(iii) Judgement dated 26-4-91 of Delhi High Court in C.W.P. No. 2786/88.

(iv) Judgement of 7-5-91 of Delhi High Court in W.P. No. 289/91 read with order dated 26-9-91 of Supreme Court in SLP No. 13229/91.

(v) Judgement dated 8-10-91 of Delhi High Court in W.P. No. 3197/89.

(vi) Judgement dated 4-11-91 of Delhi High Court in 3464/90.

(vii) Judgement dated 14-11-91 of Delhi High Court in C.W.P. No. 2756/
91 read with order dated 25-3-1992 of the Supreme Court in SLP No. 2594/92.

(viii) Order dated 2-12-91 of Delhi High Court in CMP No. 3671 and 3672/
92 in CWP No. 3465/90.

(ix) Judgement dated 5-2-1992 of Delhi High Court in CWP No. 1607/87.

(x) Order dated 16-3-93 passed by the Supreme Court in LA. No. 2,3,4, and 5 of 1992 in W.P. No. 801/1986 and LA. No. 2 of 1992 in W.P. No. 1201/86.

All India Conference of High Courts and Supreme Court Judges

3263. SHRI MISA R. GANESAN : Wil the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether there is any proposal to convene an All India Conference of High Court and Supreme Court judges in the near future ;

(b) if so, where and when ;

(c) what would be the agenda of the conference ;

(d) the number of delegates who are expected to attend ;

(e) how many days will the conference last; and

(f) whether the invitation to the conference have been sent or not ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ) : (a) There is no such proposal at present.

(b) to (f) Do not arise.